

### Freight Advisory Council Meeting

Next Meeting - Date and Location (5 min)

Monday, April 04, 2016 Time:10:30 am -12:30 pm 1445 Market Street, Denver, Colorado, 80202 Location: Welcome and Introductions (2 min.) 10:30 Jenyce Houg Minutes Adoption – February 11, 2016 (3 min.) 10:32 Jenyce Houg FHWA Freight Roundtable Thoughts and Discussion (15 min) 10:35 Jenyce Houg I-70 Closure Lessons Learned (15 min) Mike Lewis 10:50 CDOT Updates (10 min) 11:05 Jason Wallis Debra Perkins-Smith FASTLANE Grant Applications (10 min) 11:15 Focus Areas and Breakout Sessions (70 min.) 11:25 Jason Wallis Focus Areas - Background Work Groups Focus Areas - Goals and Strategies **Group Reports** Wrap-up 12:25 Jason Wallis

#### Draft FAC Meeting Minutes February 11, 2016

Location: University of Denver (DU), Joy Burns Center, 2044 East Evans Ave., Denver, CO 80208

Date/Time: February 12, 2016, 1:00pm to 4:00 pm

**Chair:** Jenyce Houg, FAC Chair **Attendees:** See Attached

Agenda Items Presenters/Affiliations	Discussion Highlights	Actions
Welcome and Introductions (Host: Bill Dewitt and FAC Chair: Jenyce Houg)	Bill Dewitt the FAC Host welcomed attendees and provided an overview of the Transportation Management Program at DU; Jenyce Houg, the FAC Chair, welcomed the group and participants introduced themselves	N/A
Last FAC Meeting Minutes Adoption	<ul> <li>Request to approve minutes of January 14, 2016         FAC         <ul> <li>It was noted that there is no deadline from FHWA on comments regarding the online national freight map mentioned at the last FAC meeting that is found online at:</li> <li><a href="https://www.transportation.gov/freight/MFN">https://www.transportation.gov/freight/MFN</a></li> </ul> </li> </ul>	January 14, 2016 FAC Meeting Minutes Approved.
Administration (Jason Wallis, CDOT)	<ul> <li>FAC member Terms (length of time served on FAC)         <ul> <li>two-years staggered; A and B were assigned to members alternating alphabetically where A would expire in 1 year and B would expire in 2 years.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Member terms as submitted were approved by the FAC members.
FHWA Freight Roundtable (Aaron Bustow, Federal Highway Administration [FHWA])	<ul> <li>Announcement that FAC members are invited to an FHWA Freight Roundtable. The FHWA Administrator from Washing D.C. is to attend.</li> <li>Date of event is April 4<sup>th</sup> from approximately 1pm to 3pm at the Denver Metro Chamber of Commerce in downtown Denver at 1445 Market St, Denver, CO 80202. Invitations to go out soon.</li> </ul>	FAC members appreciated the opportunity to hold FAC on same day as FHWA Freight Roundtable. Will hold next FAC meeting on April 4 <sup>th</sup> in the morning in Denver at the Denver Metro Chamber of Commerce at 1445 Market St, Denver, CO 80202.

	<ul> <li>Jason mentioned the concept to piggy-back on this event by holding the next FAC meeting at the same location in the morning.</li> </ul>
Updates (Jason Wallis)	<ul> <li>Hazmat and Eisenhower/Johnson Memorial Tunnel (EJMT) – work is being done to assess the tunnel for permitting hazmat trucks to pass through with new fire suppression system installed. Legislation affecting the tunnel is being re-evaluated now. Unofficial hazmat route evaluation is also going on and will finish in approximately 3 months. Changing legislation and hazmat route evaluation will take place over the next six months and will require a public hearing. Greg Fulton is one of the team members participating in the tunnel's hazmat evaluation. It was noted that using Loveland Pass, the existing hazmat route, is a challenge and a safety concern (especially during winter storms).</li> <li>Rest Area Study – this rest area study is not assessing all truck parking facilities in Colorado, but truck parking that exists only at rest areas. CDOT is in the process of identifying a scope of work for an update to the 2007 truck parking study now.</li> <li>Current Freight Related Projects – The consultant selection process for the development of the Multimodal Freight Plan (MFP) and the State Freight and Passenger-Rail Plan (SFPRP) is still ongoing.</li> </ul>
Freight Planning Activities (Tim Kirby, CDOT)	<ul> <li>From Plan to Project Presentation – Tim Kirby of CDOT presented on the planning process and programs for project selection. Currently projects are not categorized as freight-specific, but the FAST Act requires CDOT identify a process for the identification and selection of freight-specific projects.</li> <li>Obtain from BNSF more information pertaining to their timeline and process for project selection.</li> <li>CDOT to provide more information and deadlines associated with their project selection process so FAC and CDOT have a greater understanding of where and when key project decisions (project selection) occurs at CDOT and for railroads.</li> <li>Part of development of the MFP will include developing a framework for freight project identification and selection.</li> </ul>

- BNSF Representative provided an overview of the programming and project selection process for BNSF.
- It was noted that CDOT's and the BNSF process have similar components and identifying where they may potentially feed into one another would be helpful to the FAC.
- A FAC member noted that more freight involvement is needed for development of the next Regional Transportation Plans and Statewide Transportation Plan (SWP).
- Concept of pulling together freight information from FAC consideration for next set of plans to fold freight into the planning process more.
- Acronyms in planning can be intimidating to the FAC; take a more expeditious approach to planning


   FAC members have limited time to participate.
   Want input on shorter planning horizons (2-5 years, not 20-30 years)
- A FAC member recommended to bring transit mode into SWP do not do separate plans next time.
- Rail considerations include: BNSF representative appointed to work with the public for Sect 130 railroad crossings –engineering focus; network strategy area focus work now and increasing for public involvement; steady growth of passenger rail in freight rail corridors
- Need to identify where in the planning process when railroads can provide input and where railroads can contribute to mutual benefits.
- CDOT needs to obtain from Rail/Trucking industry
  planned intermodal facilities to bring state
  highways up to levels needed to support these
  freight-related facilities and accommodate resulting
  traffic flows.

- The next STIP for 2017-2020 will incorporate freight program as stipulated by the FAST Act.
- Bring Development Program to FAC for review and comment on how these projects influence freight.

	FAC should involve shippers and carriers more – e.g., I -70 Bridge project needs to consider truck access and work with industry stakeholders.	
FAST Act Implementation: Timeline & Approach (Jason Wallis, CDOT)	<ul> <li>National Freight Highway Network is 800 miles</li> <li>Need to obtain FHWA's blessing on the Primary Freight Network</li> <li>For urban corridors – coordination with Metropolitan Planning Organizations will be necessary.</li> <li>Critical rural corridors designation will be more data-driven – CDOT will identify corridors based on their data-driven eligibility and present them to the Statewide Transportation Advisory Committee (STAC) for consideration and then bring STAC input and corridor information to the FAC.</li> <li>For the FAST Act Formula-based freight funds – anticipated starting the program from scratch. Will look to the Highway Safety Improvement Program first and evaluate safety on corridors</li> <li>Will then come to FAC with questions on corridors in terms of freight safety and mobility</li> <li>CDOT and FAC will work together to identify a freight planning process and project selection criteria</li> <li>Projects eligible for the Formula-based funds need to be on the National Freight Highway Network, which also includes Interstates. The National network will include: Primary Freight Highway Network, Critical Urban and Rural freight corridors, and Interstates.</li> <li>There will be roughly \$25 million of discretionary funds that are competitive-based.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Interest in obtaining the status of projects provided in the State Highway Freight Plan- although it was noted that the FAST Act affects many of the projects – as many do not occur along the eligible freight network corridors currently identified, and to be determined.</li> <li>CDOT intends to also leverage safety funds to spread freight funds further.</li> <li>Next is for CDOT to work with FAC and MPOs to identify Colorado's critical urban and rural freight corridors</li> <li>Anticipate getting critical freight corridors initially identified in next three months.</li> <li>FHWA recommended to review FAST Act sheets that are public-friendly documents that highlight FAST Act regulations and their impact on various programs including freight. –see:         <ul> <li>https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/fastact/factsheets/ go the 6th one down on the list to the National Freight Highway Program pdf for more information on freight-related items.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

#### • FAC member pointed out to potentially balance freight projects selected – do not list formula-based projects on competitive list. Multi-state projects including CDOT may be more competitive for national evaluations. **Issues and Strategies (Breakout** • Next FAC meeting with cover a sampling (approximately See Attached documents that summarize the three breakout groups Chatty, Alpha, and Highwides) and top 3) of these priorities Groups) their discussions. • A calendar of when other topics are scheduled to be General comments from breakout group (sessions discussed will be developed. highlighted the following as priorities for freight: FAC Chair and member strongly recommended FAC Highway railroad crossings, Weight and Clearance members read the State Highway Freight Plan. See: restricted rail lines, clearance restricted bridges, https://www.codot.gov/programs/planning/planningsafety, truck parking, communication, education & programs/freight-program and click on "State partnerships, Consider combining -safety, pullouts, Highway Freight Plan" highlighted in blue text in the and truck parking, signage and system efficiency. third paragraph on this page. See formal results tallied in attached handout. Seethe 2007 I-70 Truck Parking Guide attached below • Distance between rail lines for long trucks was (click on the icon to open) raised as an issue. • FAC needs to pay attention to transportation I-70 funding discussions as they occur. Urban areas can Truck\_Parking\_Guide pull from funds for Regional Transit Authorities via


	<ul> <li>taxes when formed - Freight needs to be considered more for transportation.</li> <li>FAC would like an opportunity to provide input on RoadX pilot project priorities</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Peter Kozinski, CDOT's RoadX Project Manager, can be scheduled to speak to the FAC at a future meeting.</li> </ul>	
Wrap-up (Jason Wallis)	<ul> <li>Survey regarding meeting effectiveness was distributed to meeting attendees to fill out and submit to Jason.</li> </ul>	Next Meeting for FAC is scheduled for April 4 <sup>th</sup> in the morning at the Denver Metro Chamber of Commerce.	






#### Freight Advisory Council Meeting Attendees February 11, 2016

Name Last	Name First	FAC Member Type/Agency
Bailey	Grier	General
Beedy	Gary	General
DeWitt	Bill	General
Dhuru	Sarod	General
Douglas	Kevin	General
Fulton	Greg	General
Goetz	Andy	General
Houg	Jenyce	Chair
Howes	Brandon	General
Kiely	Joe	General
Lathrop	Mason	General
Perkins-Smith	Debra (for Mike Lewis)	Ex Officio, CDOT
Rich	Tim	General
Spaulding	Carl	General
Thompson Cassidy	Sara	General
Wagner	Howard	General
Wallis	Jason	Secretary
Karasko	Becky	Ex Officio
Rickerhauser	Pete	Ex Officio
Streisfeld	Lisa for (Ryan Rice)	CDOT
Scheuerman	Michelle	CDOT
Sudmeier	Jeff	CDOT
King	Michael	CDOT
Kirby	Tim	CDOT
Greco	Aaron	CDOT
Bustow	Aaron	FHWA
Krutsinger	David	CDOT
Riger	Jacob	DRCOG
Helfant	Matthew	DRCOG
Terranova	Sharon	CDOT



# COLORADO Department of Transportation




The information contained in this map is based on the most currently available data and has been checked for accuracy. CDOT does not guarantee the accuracy of any information presented, is not liable in any respect for any errors or omissions, and is not responsible for determining "fitness for use".

## National Highway Freight Network

National Primary Freight System
 Interstate Non-National Primary Freight System
 Critical Urban Freight Corridors
 Critical Rural Freight Corridors

Highways



# Freight Advisory Council Meeting April 4, 2016 Comment Sheet

Name (Optional):	
Facilities: How would you rate the facilities for this meeting? Would you want come he	ere again?
Additional Thoughts: What additional thoughts or ideas would you like to add to today	's discussion?
9 FHWA Freight Roundtable	
I-70 Closure Lessons Learned	
CDOT Updates	*
• FASTLANE Grant Applications	
Focus Areas and Breakout Sessions	
• Other	
Do you feel today's meeting was productive? Yes No	
• Comments:	
What items or discussions would you like to see on the agenda?	
How can we make our time and activities as a group the most efficient?	

